

Question 4

- a. 1. Set up the hypotheses

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

2. Test Statistics $t = \frac{b_1}{s_{b1}}$

3. Rejection Region for test $\alpha = 0.05$

$$|t| > t_{0.025, df=13} = 2.160$$

4. Given $b_1 = -0.3466$, $s_{b1} = 0.0587$, $t = \frac{-0.3466}{0.0587} = -59.0459659$

5. Since $t_{\text{observed}} = 59.046 > t_{\text{critical}} = 2.160$

Reject H_0 , conclude at 5% level significance. There is sufficient evidence to conclude that average hourly rate contributes useful information for prediction of quit rates.

The model suggests a negative relationship between quit rates and wages.

- b. Given the sums and sums of squares, the coefficient of determination (R^2) can be calculated as

$$R^2 = \frac{SS_{yy} - SSE}{SS_{yy}} = \frac{11.324 - 3.0733}{11.324} = 0.728603$$

About 72.86% of variation in quit rate is accounted for by average hourly wage rate.

- c. $\hat{y} = \text{quit rate} = 4.8615 - 0.3466(9) = 1.7421$, for $x_p = 9$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{129.5}{15} = 8.6333$$

$$\frac{(x_p - \bar{x})^2}{SS_{xx}} = \frac{(x_p - \bar{x})^2}{\sum(x - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{(9 - 8.6333)^2}{68.6999} = 0.00229035$$

The 95% Confidence Interval for mean quit rate

$$1.7421 \pm s(t_{0.0025, 13}) * \sqrt{\frac{1}{15} + 0.00229035}$$

$$1.7421 \pm (0.486)(2.160) * \sqrt{\frac{1}{15} + 0.00229035}$$

$$1.7421 \pm (0.486)(2.160) * 0.2626 = 1.7421 \pm 0.27578 = (1.4663 ; 2.0179)$$

The mean quit rate for given average hourly wage rate of \$ 9 is estimated to range between 1.4663 and 2.0179 with a 95% confidence interval.

- d. the 95% Prediction Interval

$$1.7421 \pm s(t_{0.0025, 13}) * \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{15} + 0.00229035}$$

$$1.7421 \pm 0.486(2.160) * 1.033904$$

$$1.7421 \pm 1.08583$$

$$0.6564 \text{ to } 2.82793$$

The predicted quit rate ranges from 0.6564 to 2.82793 for a given averages hourly wage rate of \$9 with a 95% confidence.

Question 5

- a. 1. Set up the hypotheses

$$\begin{aligned} H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0 \\ H_a: \text{At least one } \beta_i \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

2. Test statistics: $F = \frac{MSR}{MSE}$

3. Rejection Region for test at $\alpha = 0.05$

$$F_{\text{observed}} > F_{\text{critical}} = F_{0.05, 3, 16} = 3.24$$

4. ANOVA table $F = \frac{5158.3/3}{1539.9/16} = 17.8654$

$$\text{Since } F_{\text{observed}} = 17.8654 > F_{\text{critical}} = F_{0.05, 3, 16} = 3.24$$

Reject H_0 and conclude at 5% level of significance. There is sufficient evidence to conclude that the model is useful in predicting labour cost.

- b. 1. Set up the hypotheses

$$\begin{aligned} H_0: \beta_3 = 0 \\ H_a: \beta_3 \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

2. Test Statistics $t = \frac{b_3}{s_{b_3}}$

3. Rejection Region for test $\alpha = 0.05$

$$|t| > t_{0.025, df=16} = 2.120$$

4. Given $b_3 = -2.5874$, $s_{b_3} = 0.6428$ $t = \frac{-2.5874}{0.6428} = -4.0252$

5. Since $t_{\text{observed}} = 4.0252 > t_{\text{critical}} = 2.120$

Reject H_0 , conclude at 5% level significance.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{p-value} &= 2P(t_{16} > 4.0252) / (<0.0005) \\ \text{p-value} &< 0.001 \end{aligned}$$

There is sufficient evidence to conclude that average shipping weight contributes useful information for prediction of labor.

The model suggests a negative relationship between shipping weight and labor.

c. $R^2 = \frac{SS_{yy} - SSE}{SS_{yy}} = \frac{6698.2 - 1539.9}{6698.2} = 0.770102$

About 77.01% of variation in labor hours is due to variations in values of the predictions and is accounted for by the model.

d. $\hat{y} = 131.92 + (2.726)(6) + (0.04722)(0.4) + (-2.5874)(20) = 96.54689$